# STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

STATE OF ARIZONA

AUG 2 1995

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of

Docket No. 95-175

EMPIRE FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY

CONSENT ORDER

Respondent.

A Market Conduct Examination of Respondent, Empire Fire and Marine Insurance Company ("EFMIC"), was conducted by Market Conduct ("Examiners") for the Arizona Examiners Department of Insurance ("ADOI"). Based on the Report of Market Conduct Examination prepared by the Examiners, it is alleged that Respondent has violated provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes, 20, Title including Sections 20-229, 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-461, 20-1632, 20-1673 and Arizona Administrative Code "A.A.C." R4-14-801. EFMIC wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings and hereby agrees to a Consent Order.

The Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona ("the Director") enters the following Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by Respondent, and the following Order.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. EFMIC is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- The Examiners were authorized by the ADOI to conduct a 2. market conduct examination of EFMIC. The on-site examination was concluded as of April 1, 1993 and a Report of Examination

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

9

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

("Report") was written. The Examiners reviewed open and closed claim files, underwriting, rating, cancellations and complaints from January 1, 1990 through December 31, 1992.

- 3. CenCal Insurance Services Inc. ("CenCal"), on EFMIC's behalf, issued 30,126 policies which were countersigned using a facsimile signature during the period November 1, 1990 through September 20, 1992.
- 4. EFMIC used rates to develop the premiums of four (4) personal automobile ("PA") policies which were inconsistent with EFMIC's rates on file with the ADOI. As a result, two (2) insureds paid a total of \$2.00 more and two (2) insureds paid a total of \$163.00 less than they should have paid had EFMIC adhered to its filings.
- 5. The Examiners reviewed the files of seventy-five (75) PA notices of cancellation which were sent for reasons other than non-payment of premium and found that CenCal, on EFMIC's behalf, failed to include in all seventy-five (75) notices the specific facts which constituted the reasons for cancellation, notice of the named insureds' right to complain to the Director and notice of the insureds' possible eligibility for insurance through the automobile assigned risk plan. The Examiners found that CenCal failed to include said specific facts, the notice of the right to complain to the Director and the notice of possible eligibility for the assigned risk plan in all 9,461 cancellation notices issued on behalf of EFMIC during the period covered by the Examination.

. . . . .

. . . . .

3

- 6. CenCal, on EFMIC's behalf, failed to return the unearned premium to 700 PA policyholders with the notices of cancellations for reasons other than nonpayment of premium.
- 7. EFMIC cancelled commercial policy, #BS003722, after the Policy had been in effect for sixty (60) days for a reason other than those permitted by statute. The Policy was reinstated after a complaint was filed with the ADOI.
- 8. EMFIC issued seven (7) commercial policies using rates other than its filed rates. As a result, these insureds paid a total of \$119.00 more than they should have paid had EFMIC adhered to its filings.
- 9. EFMIC failed to pay the full amount of sales taxes, license fees and/or actual cash value ("ACV") due on seventy-eight (78) first-party automobile total loss claims. A total of \$1,357.94 was due these claimants, and has been paid.
- 10. In 31 first party total loss claims, EFMIC failed to support the ACV of the vehicles with documentation giving particulars of the automobile's condition in electing a cash settlement of the claim. The settlement amounts were determined by means other than the value of a comparable automobile in the local market area or by utilizing two (2) dealer quotes.
- 11. EMFIC failed to answer the inquiries of the ADOI concerning ten (10) complaints regarding claims within fifteen (15) working days.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As a result of EFMIC's agent, CenCal, issuing 30,126 policies which were countersigned using a facsimile signature

during the period November 1, 1990 through September 20, 1992, EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 229(A).

- 2. EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A) by failing to file all rating for PA risks and deviations therefrom with the ADOI.
- 3. EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A) by failing to file all rating for commercial risks and deviations therefrom with the ADOI. By issuing commercial policies using rates not consistent with their filings EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A).
- 4. EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(1) and (2) by sending to insureds notices of cancellation for reasons other than nonpayment of premium, which did not contain the specific facts which constituted the reasons for cancellation, notice of the named insureds' right to complain to the Director and notice of the insureds' possible eligibility for insurance through the automobile assigned risk plan.
- 5. By failing to refund unearned premium for policies cancelled for reasons other than nonpayment of premium with the notices of cancellation, EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(3).
- 6. By cancelling a commercial policy, which had been in effect for over sixty (60) days, for a reason not allowed by statute, EFMIC violated A.R.S. § 20-1673(A)(1).
- 7. By failing to pay the full amount of sales taxes and license fees required for the purchase of comparable automobiles to first party claimants in their settlement of first party automobile total loss claims, EFMIC violated A.C.C. Rule 4-14-801(H)(1)(b) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).

. . . . .

- 8. By failing to use the cost of a comparable automobile or dealer quotations to establish the basis for a cash settlement of an automobile total loss claim and failing to document deviations therefrom, EFMIC violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(H)(1)(b) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
- 9. By failing to answer inquiries from the ADOI respecting claims within fifteen (15) working days of receipt of the inquiry, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(E)(2) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(2).
- 10. Grounds exist for the entry of all other provisions of the following Order.

#### ORDER

Respondent having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter the Order set forth herein, having waived the Notice of Hearing, and having consented to the entry of the Order set forth hereinafter, and there being no just reason for delay:

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent shall cease and desist from using unfiled rates; from using PA cancellation notices which do not contain the specific facts which constitued the reasons for cancellation, notice of the insureds' right to complain to the Director and notice of the insureds' possible eligibility for insurance through the automobile assigned risk plan; from not refunding unearned premiums with PA cancellation notices; from cancelling commercial policies for reasons not allowed under Arizona statutes; from failing to pay the total amount of sales taxes, license fees and ACV due to claimants in settlements of

automobile total loss claims; from failing to use the cost of a comparable automobile or a dealer quotation including documentation to support any deviation therefrom to establish the basis for a cash settlement of automobile total loss claims and from failing to answer inquiries from the ADOI concerning claims within fifteen (15) working days.

- 2. Respondent shall develop and submit to ADOI, within sixty (60) days of the filed date of this Report, written action plans to ensure that:
- a. In all policy cancellations, other than those resulting from non-payment of premiums, EFMIC states the specific reason for such cancellation, notice of the right to complain to the Director, notice of the insured's possible eligibility for insurance through the automobile assigned risk plan; and refunds any unearned premium with the notice of cancellation.
- b. EFMIC adheres to A.A.C. R4-14-801(H) by paying all proper taxes and license fees and using the ACV, determined by the cost of a comparable automobile in the local market area, or two or more quotations obtained from qualified dealers located in the local market area, or a deviation therefrom which is documented, in calculating all first-party total losses.
- c. EFMIC establish and maintain a complaint log. This log shall state whether each complaint is justified or not justified, the reason for each complaint, and the acknowledgment date and the final disposition of each complaint. EFMIC shall provide a copy of the complaint log to be used and the

. . . . .

procedures for its use to the ADOI within sixty (60) days of the filed date of this Order.

- d. EFMIC respond to all complaints, including but not limited to those received from the ADOI within fifteen (15) working days.
- EFMIC responds to all complaints involving claims within ten (10)working days, required A.A.C. as by R4-14-801(E)(1) "Failure to acknowledge pertinent communications".
- 3. EFMIC shall within forty-five (45) days of the filed date of this Order perform a self audit of its authorized agents to ascertain that all are licensed as "agents" by the ADOI. EFMIC shall report the results of said self audit to the ADOI within sixty (60) days of the filed date of this Order.
- 4. EFMIC has paid to the seventy-eight (78) claimants identified in the Report and pay a total of \$1,357.94 in taxes, license fees and ACV, plus interest at the rate of ten (10%) percent per annum, from the date each claim was received by the insurer until the date of claim payment.
- 5. A list of the payments made pursuant to Paragraph 4, giving the name and address of each party to whom payments were made, the base amount of the payment, the amount of interest paid and the date of payment shall be provided to the ADOI within sixty (60) days of the filed date of this Order.
- 6. The ADOI shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify Respondent has fully complied with all requirements of this Order, and the Director may separately order Respondent to comply.

EFMIC shall pay a civil penalty of Fifteen Thousand 7. Dollars (\$15,000.00) to the Director for remission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §20-220(B). Said amount shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Division of the ADOI on or before July 21, 1995.

The April 1, 1993 Report of Examination, to include 8. any objections to the Report by Respondents, shall be filed with the ADOI.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 2ndday of August ,1995.

Director of Insurance

5

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

# CONSENT TO ORDER

- Respondent, Empire Fire and Marine Insurance Company 1. has reviewed the foregoing Consent Order.
- Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing at which 2. hearing Respondent may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent has irrevocably waived its right to such public hearing and to any court appeals relating thereto.
- Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and consents to the entry of this Consent Order.
- Respondent states that no promise of any kind or 4. nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this 5. Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely for the purpose of settling this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. AMY S. BONES represents that as Vice President she is an officer of Respondent and that, as such, she is authorized by it to enter into this Consent Order on its behalf.

EMPIRE FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY

Wy 21, 1995 By My S Bones

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered 2 this 2nd day of August , 1995, to: 3 Charles R. Cohen Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris 4 Executive Assistant Director 5 Lewis D. Kowal Chief Administrative Law Judge 6 Erin Klüq Executive Assistant to the Director 7 Jimmy Potts Market Conduct Examination Coordinator 8 Examinations Division Saul Saulson 9 Supervisor Examinations Section 10 Dean Ehler Supervisor Property and Casualty Section 11 Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director 12 Rates & Regulations Division Gary Torticill 13 Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner Corporate & Financial Affairs Division 14 Cathy O, Neil Assistant Director 15 Consumer Services and Investigations 16 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 17 Phoenix, AZ 85018 18 Amy Bones Vice President & General Counsel 19 Empire Fire & Marine Insurance Company 1624 Douglas Street 20 Omaha, Nebraska, 68102-1449 21 rawford 22 23 24 25

26

27

28